Meeting Minutes
Medford Comprehensive High School Building Committee Meeting
June 10, 2026 at 6:30 p.m. at the Medford High School Library & on Zoom

I. Roll call

Voting Members: 14 Present, 1 Absent
Non-Voting Members: 7 Present 3 Absent

II. Approval of Meeting Minutes
    A. Meeting Minutes from June 1, 2026 Full Committee Meeting

Motion to Approve Meeting Minutes by Ken Lord second by Libby Brown 13/0/1 1 Abstain - Approved

III. Approval of April and May 2026 Project Invoices

Motion to Approve April & May 2026 Project Invoices by Emily Lazzaro second by Maria D'Orsi 14/0/1 - Approved

IV. Evaluation Criteria Review, Feedback, and Amendments

The committee reviewed the evaluation criteria matrix, which was voted on and approved by the building committee earlier in the PDP phase. They have edited the matrix with only the six design options remaining.
Lisa Miller wanted to acknowledge that the committee did not publicly discuss the scoring of Option A, but did not want to revisit the scoring.
Luke Preisner wanted committee members to have the ability to provide input to the matrix and for different categories to be weighted differently, rather than all categories having equal footing.

V. Summary of Community Feedback regarding preferred options

The project team provided a recap of the feedback gathered on the remaining six design options, including the methods of how it was gathered.
    A. Public Comment

Tuomas Ronni 83 Playstead Road: high school schedule, in terms of start of day, should be changed
Yen Tieu, 48 Brookings St: interested in preserving the gym, swimming pool, and community spaces. Recommended to limit spaces that allow students to hide such as places under the stairs

or benches with windows. Said she voted for C.2.2A or C.3.4A because of the curvature of walls and ability to hide.

Nick Giuleo 40 Robinson Rd: Asked where the Grade 9-12 only option is, citing feedback from the MSBA. Asked to delay voting until that option has been fleshed out and solicited community feedback.
Project team: have been responsive to the MSBA and the 2.2HS only option is responsive to the feedback. This design has been developed, including floor plans and pricing scope that has been fully compliant with the MSBA feedback.

Alicia Hunt 41 Wassen St: Ask the committee to consider the future of the building and future of the city. Cited previous experience working with school buildings. An example of schools not being future proofed is managing the schools ability to recycle and composting. Also talked about transporting students to Hormel Stadium and that options increase the ability to host them on campus. Shared hopes about creating a second exit from the building. Also wants solar ready roofs during the design

Nick Uhlig 62 Tainter St: Expressed support for C options. Believes options give the best balance for what they're looking for throughout the community. Likes the ability to have sports on the campus. Does not support the HS only option because it would kick out existing programs within the high school and they would need to find a new home.

Mike Mastrobouni 73 Fulton Spring Rd: 2 C options give maximum flexibility for committee. Thanked committee for their work and looking forward to the next phase of the process.

Fritzi Pieper 34 Ross St: Voted for C 3.4A, liked both C options but more compact building would ease usability by students.

Dina Caloggero 86 Stanley Avenue: Little concerned about the pricing and the communication of the options. Was not aware of the ability to vote, and wants the committee to be mindful of seniors on fixed income. Highlighting how many things are going on in the city and harder to keep track of it. Preferred the high school only option given the amount on the debt exclusion.
Chair Graham: clarified that the cost will continue to change as one option is chosen. Also said that funding conversations are being had with the Mayors Office and City Council to create a funding plan.

Justin Tseng 36 Wallington Rd: thanked committee for hard work and that the work is centered on students. The HS only option raises issues about how to fund places that don't have a home. Choosing that option would kick the can down the road without a fiscal solution from the city. Preference is the C options.

Sarah Lucas 515 Winthrop St: Project abutter advocating for C option. Doesn't think option A or HS only option is appropriate given that it would unhouse existing programs such as the MFN. Need to be invested in school and community spaces.

Erika Reinfeld 64 Forest St: Ella and Tessa (5th Graders) who liked the C options and 3.4 is their favorite of the two.

VI. PSR Preferred Option Review and Vote

The project team reviewed all design options being considered, including modular impact, estimated project cost, and estimated Medford share cost. Chair Graham said that the project team met with the mayor's office and first responders to solicit their opinion, but no set emergency access road has been finalized at this time. Chief Buckley indicated via the Mayor that he is pleased with the circulation in all designs and that D.1.1 offers the least in terms of public safety. Chief Evans said from a fire safety preference C2.2 is the preferred option.

Motion to eliminate A.1 and C2.2A - HS Only by Brian Hilliard, as amended by Luke Preisner to remove C2.2A - HS Only from the motion, seconded by Dr. Suzanne B. Galusi - 12/0/2 1 abstain - Approved

Design Option A.1 removed from consideration

Motion to eliminate D1.1 by Mayor Lungo-Koehn, seconded by Ken Lord - 11/1/2/ 1 - Approved

Design Option D1.1 removed from consideration

Motion to hold another community meeting with net reimbursement figures to solicit community feedback before another design option is eliminated by Luke Preisner, no second

Motion to select design option C2.2A for the Preferred Schematic Report by Dr. Suzanne Galusi, seconded by Aaron Olapade 12/1/2 - Approved

VII. PSR Next Steps

VIII. Upcoming Meetings

A. June 18, 2026 - MCHSBC Committee Meeting at 6:30 p.m. - approve PSR for submission

IX. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn by Joan Bowen second by Nicole Morell - 13/0/2 - Motion Approved

Meeting adjourned